

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**4
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**6
7 * * *8
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

10 Plaintiff,)

11 vs) 2:07-cr-0014-JCM-RJJ

12 RENE OSWALD COBAR,)

13 Defendant,.)

14 **ORDER**


15 Presently before the court is defendant Rene Oswald Cobar's motion for a new trial and for
16 evidentiary hearing. (Doc. #288). The government responded (doc. #290), and the defendant filed a
17 supplement (doc. #299), but did not reply. Also before the court is defendant Luis Angel Gonzalez-
18 Largo's motion to compel disclosure of exculpatory evidence. (Doc. #292). The government
19 responded (doc. #294) and filed a supplement (doc. #298), but the defendant did not reply. The court
20 held a hearing on both motions on October 18, 2010, and subsequently took each under advisement
21 at the suggestion of the parties, pending in camera review of the DEA file 5.

22 Following the in camera review by the court on November 3, 2010, the court finding no
23 relationship and no parallels between the case for which the confidential informant was deactivated
24 and the case presently before the court,

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Rene Oswald
26 Cobar's motion for a new trial and for evidentiary hearing (doc. #288) be, and the same hereby is,
27 denied.
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Luis Angel
2 Gonzalez-Largo's motion to compel disclosure of exculpatory evidence (doc. #292) be, and the same
3 hereby is, denied.

4 DATED this 8th day of November, 2010.

5
6 
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28